

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,960	10/743,960 12/22/2003		Anthony J. Lamela	15211	9369
37414	7590	01/04/2005		EXAMINER	
CNH AME	RICA L	LC	YEAGLEY, DANIEL S		
INTELLEC'	TUAL PE	ROPERTY LAW			
PO BOX 18	95, MS 6	41	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	NEW HOLLAND, PA 17557				-

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	~			
		10/743,960	LAMELA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniel Yeagley	3611				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- ported for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ply within the statutory minimu d will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 /	November 2004.					
2a)⊠	·	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
_	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration					
Applicat	ion Papers			•			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ier.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	•				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been receive nts have been receive ority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No been received in this National).	Stage :			
Attachmen	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/8/04</u> .	3) 5) 🔲 No	per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PTC ter:	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mather et al '757 in view of Caswell '656.

Mather discloses a vehicle (skid steer vehicle 10; figure 1) having a plurality of hydraulic pumps coupled to and driven by an engine 81, a chassis comprising a chain tank (drive housing 70) having a left and right side 72 and extends along a longitudinally extending axis, wherein the chassis includes first chain links 90 operatively connected to at least one left-side motor 88 and the wheels 20 of a left front and a left rear suspension and includes second chain links 90 operatively connected to at least one right-side motor 89 and the wheels 20 of a right front and a right rear suspension (column 4), wherein control arms of the four suspensions extend laterally away from the vehicle, the two left side suspension control arms extending leftwardly and laterally away from the left side of the chassis, and the two right side control arms extending rightwardly and laterally away from the right side of the chassis (column 3), wherein the chassis has a generally vertically and longitudinally extending left side wall and a generally vertically and horizontally extending right side wall, the left front and left rear control arms coupled to the left sidewall and the right front and right rear control arms coupled to the right sidewall as broadly claimed; such that figures 4 and 5 show the at least one left-side motor 88 fixed to the

Art Unit: 3611

left side 72 of the chain tank 70 and the at least one right side motor 89 fixed to the right side 72 of the chain tank, the left-side motor drivingly coupled via a left drive member to two wheels of the left front and left rear suspensions and the right-side motor drivingly coupled via a right drive member to the two wheels of the right front and the right rear suspensions, wherein the left and right drive members remain in the same orientation relative to the left-side motor and the right-side motor respectively, *but* failed to disclose a four vehicle wheel suspensions having a control arm that is pivotally coupled to the chassis to pivot the wheel suspension about a longitudinally extending axis, wherein the left and right drive members remain in the same orientation relative to the left-side motor and the right-side motor, even as the control arms pivot with respect to the chassis, and wherein each control arm of the four suspension means includes a damper means comprised of a strut coupled to the wheel and the control arm which includes a spring for supporting the vehicle and wherein the vehicle includes at least one steering actuator as claimed.

Caswell shows a skid steer vehicle having a drive housing with a left and right side extending along a longitudinally extending axis with drive means operatively connected to the left-side and left wheels of a left front and a left rear pivotal suspension means and includes second drive means operatively connected to the right-side and wheels of a right front and a right rear pivotal suspension means, wherein the control arms are pivotally coupled to the chassis for pivoting about a horizontal longitudinally extending axis and extend laterally away from the vehicle which would allow the left and right drive members of the longitudinal extending drive means to remain in the same orientation relative to the left-side and the right-side even as the control arms pivot with respect to the chassis as best understood, and wherein each pivotal control arm of the four suspension means includes a damper means comprised of a spring strut

Art Unit: 3611

12 coupled to the wheel and the control arm (figure 2, column 2) which further includes at least one steering actuator 26 which simultaneously steers the front wheels to the left or right while simultaneously steering the rear wheels in the opposite direction to the right or left (figure 1) as broadly claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the chain driven skid steer vehicle of Mather chain drive vehicle with modified or alternative suspension arms as suggested by Caswell being independently pivotal control arms coupled to the chassis in order to enhance the suspension utilizing independent pivotal suspension arms which is well known in the vehicle suspension art for increased suspension performance and traction to enhance the vehicles terrain versatility to negotiate undulating terrain as suggested by Caswell and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further modified the chain driven skid steer vehicle of Mather with a steering actuator in order to simultaneously steer the front wheels in a direction opposite the direction of the rear wheels as further suggested by Caswell to further enhance the maneuverability of the vehicle by incorporating a steering actuator for four wheel steering as taught by Caswell.

3. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mather et al '757 as modified by Caswell '656 in further view of Thibodeau '051.

Mather as modified by Caswell discloses a skid steer vehicle having a chassis with a chain tank with drive members and chain links operatively connected to left and right-side motors fixed to a chassis and a plurality of hydraulic pumps driven by an engine, such that the left and right drive members remain in the same orientation relative to the left and the right-side

Art Unit: 3611

motors, and wherein the wheels of a left side and the wheels of a right side include control arms extending laterally away from the vehicle chassis and being pivotally coupled to the chassis as modified by Caswell to pivot the wheel suspensions about a horizontal longitudinally extending axis with a spring strut means; whose left and right drive members also remain in the same orientation relative to the left-side and the right-side, even as the control arms pivot with respect to the chassis, *but* failed to disclose a hydraulic steering pump and a suspension control arm coupled to the chassis at a point forward the strut and at a second point rearward the strut as claimed.

Thibodeau shows a skid steer vehicle having a chain driven right and left drive members drivingly coupled to a motor and housed in a chain tank, such that the drive members also remain in the same orientation relative to the left-side and the right-side of the motor, even as control arms pivot with respect to the chassis as broadly claimed which further utilizes a hydraulic steering pump 226 and discloses a suspension means having a pivotal control arm with a strut (figure 7) being coupled to a wheel and the chassis by incorporating two coupling points, one point forward the strut and a second point rearward the strut as broadly claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have further modified the chain driven skid steer vehicle of Mather chain driven vehicle as modified by the pivotal control arms as suggested by Caswell with further modification of the control arms utilizing two or more coupling points to couple the control arm to the chassis as suggested by Thibodeau in order to more securely pivot the control arm from the chassis or simple as an alternative fastening means known in the art to pivotally suspend the control arm from the chassis about a horizontal axis as taught by Thibodeau and further would

Application/Control Number: 10/743,960 Page 6

Art Unit: 3611

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated an additional hydraulic pump; such as a steering pump as suggested by Thibodeau as a known means to control the steering of the vehicle utilizing a steering pump as taught by Thibodeau.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 8 have been considered but are most in 4. view of the new ground(s) of rejection as now claimed. Mather discloses a skid steer vehicle having a left and right side chain driven drive means which remain in the same orientation relative to the left and right motors and drive members and as combined with the skid steer vehicle of Caswell incorporating the features of a four vehicle suspension and at least one steering actuator configured to simultaneously steer the front wheels in one direction while steering the rear wheels in an opposite as suggested by Caswell are considered readable on the claims as broadly claimed in combination and in further obvious combination with the skid steer vehicle of Thibodeau which clearly shows the features of a hydraulic steering pump and a suspension control arm which utilizes a control arm coupled to the chassis at a point forward the strut and at a second point rearward the strut as claimed and is considered readable on the claims as now claimed as viewed by the examiner as being obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined these known features of the skid steer vehicles as stated above. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Art Unit: 3611

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Yeagley whose telephone number is 703-305-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri; first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley D Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3611

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.Y.

KEVIN HURLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER